Supporting researchers in the NHS: Working with Cochrane

Rachel Steele

January 2019

making a

difference

University of York and Tees, Esk & Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust (TEWV) Knowledge Exchange Project

Background: lack of translation from evidence to practice...

making a

difference

Evidence in Practice challenges

 Taylor and Grey (2010, p. 7) cite a recent review which found that 'among patients in the community and primary care settings, more than 50% of those with depression do not receive accurate diagnoses or any prescription of depression treatment. Of those who are prescribed treatment, more than 50% do not receive adequate dosages of antidepressants, and fewer than 10% receive evidence-based psychotherapy (Katon and Seelig 2008)...Similar low levels of adherence to evidence-based guidelines have been found for other disorders such as substance abuse.'

making a

difference

Project objectives

- 1. To provide a catalyst for knowledge exchange between TEWV and University of York
- 2. To support the extension of knowledge resources, including developing existing Clinical Question & Answering service in TEWV
- 3. To co-design and co-produce funding proposals for joint projects based in the Trust

making a

difference

Activities and themes

- 1. Increase engagement with healthcare practitioners (clinicians and managers) to facilitate improved research literacy and build capacity amongst TEWV staff
- 2. Enhance existing evidence resources to increase the impact of high quality research on decision-making across the Trust
- 3. Identification of evidence needs across the Trust
- 4. Co-design and co-production of new research proposals
- 5. Evaluation of knowledge exchange activities undertaken as part of the project
- 6. Draft of at least one journal article outlining the project and outcomes

making a

difference

Cochrane Collaboration https://uk.cochrane.org/

- An independent, global network of researchers, professionals, patient and carers collaborating to produce credible, accessible health information which is free from commercial sponsorship and other conflicts of interest
- Primarily achieved by producing Cochrane Systematic Reviews

making a

difference

What is a systematic review?

- A systematic review uses explicit, transparent and reproducible methods to collate all empirical evidence (published and un-published) on a topic according to the inclusion, and exclusion, criteria in the pre-specified research protocol
 - https://uk.cochrane.org/news/what-are-systematic-reviews-0

making a

difference

Cochrane Systematic Review

Pharmacy management for depression in adults

making a difference

Pharmacy management for depression in adults (1)

- Depression is a common condition affecting 300 million people worldwide, irrespective of age, social status and gender
- Antidepressants are the mainstay of treatment but non-adherence is very common
- Whilst pharmacy interventions have been shown to be effective in improving antidepressant adherence, more evidence is needed on broader outcomes where pharmacist services have increasing capacity to make a more involved contribution to patient mental health care, including providing hospital discharge and outpatient interventions

making a

difference

Pharmacy management for depression in adults (2)

Typically, pharmacist-based, -delivered, -managed, or led, interventions are broad in scope and hard to define but can typically consist of educational programmes, patient counselling and general drug information delivered by a pharmacist or the wider pharmacy team via a variety of settings, relating to an individual's medication and general healthcare needs

making a

difference

Inclusion and exclusion criteria (1)

• All randomised controlled trials and cluster randomised controlled trials will be included where a pharmacy-delivered intervention is compared to treatment as usual, waiting list or an alternative intervention. The intervention may be delivered within the pharmacy or external to the pharmacy (e.g. in a hospital, clinic, online, etc.) and/or in the community, provided that the pharmacist/wider pharmacy team is involved.

making a

difference

Inclusion and exclusion criteria (2)

- We will include all adults (defined age 16 or over) treated in community or primary care settings, with a primary diagnosis of depression according to an international diagnostic classification, including for example the Diagnostic and Statistical Manualof Mental Disorders (DSM) or the International Classification of Diseases (ICD), as well as depressive symptoms diagnosed via self-reported scales or questionnaires. Participants will have been prescribed an antidepressant (any type) by their primary physician for the treatment of their diagnosed depression or depressive symptoms.
- We will also include people with any kind of physical or psychiatric comorbidity, provided the comorbidity is not the primary focus of the study.

making a

difference

The (typical) systematic review team

- Multi-disciplinary, bringing together researchers with skills and expertise in:
 - Topic experts/clinicians
 - Project management
 - Systematic reviewing
 - Health economics
 - Statistics
 - Patients and carers
 - Information specialists!

making a

difference

Information sources for searches

- Cochrane Specialised Register (CCMD-CTR)
- International trial registries
- Grey literature
- Additional bibliographic database searches
 - The Cochrane Library Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTAL) (current issue);
 - Ovid MEDLINE (1946 onwards) (Appendix 2);
 - Ovid Embase (1974 onwards);
 - Ovid PsycINFO (1806 onwards);
 - CINAHL (1980 onwards);
 - AMED (1985 onwards).

making a

difference

Methodological filters

- Search terms/strategies to identify an aspect of a study (usually study design), e.g. RCTs
 - Separate filters for different databases, e.g. MEDLINE, Embase etc.
 - (Critical appraisal of search filters...)

making a

difference

PRISMA

- Set of minimum reporting standards for systematic reviews
 - PRISMA flow diagram

http://www.prisma-statement.org/

making a

difference

Cochrane software and programmes

- Archie account
- Literature search results should be outputted as a RIS file and ENDNOTE is used to de-duplicate results

 Covidence – used to screen titles and abstracts at the study selection stage

Sensitivity and specificity and the trade-off...

making a

difference